



Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition

ISSN: 1040-8398 (Print) 1549-7852 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/bfsn20

Storage Stability of Food Protein Hydrolysates—A **Review**

Qinchun Rao, Andre Klaassen Kamdar & Theodore P. Labuza

To cite this article: Qinchun Rao, Andre Klaassen Kamdar & Theodore P. Labuza (2016) Storage Stability of Food Protein Hydrolysates—A Review, Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition, 56:7, 1169-1192, DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2012.758085

To link to this article: <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.758085</u>

4	-0	
	ТТ	
	П	

Accepted author version posted online: 12 Aug 2013. Published online: 12 Aug 2013.



🖉 Submit your article to this journal 🗹

Article views: 915



View related articles 🗹



View Crossmark data 🗹



Citing articles: 6 View citing articles 🕑

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=bfsn20



Storage Stability of Food Protein Hydrolysates-A Review

QINCHUN RAO¹, ANDRE KLAASSEN KAMDAR², and THEODORE P. LABUZA²

¹Department of Nutrition, Food and Exercise Sciences, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA ²Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, Minnesota, USA

In recent years, mainly due to the specific health benefits associated with (1) the discovery of bioactive peptides in protein hydrolysates, (2) the reduction of protein allergenicity by protein hydrolysis, and (3) the improved protein digestibility and absorption of protein hydrolysates, the utilization of protein hydrolysates in functional foods and beverages has significantly increased. Although the specific health benefits from different hydrolysates are somewhat proven, the delivery and/or stability of these benefits is debatable during distribution, storage, and consumption. In this review, we discuss (1) the quality changes in different food protein hydrolysates during storage; (2) the resulting changes in the structure and texture of three food matrices, i.e., low moisture foods (LMF, $a_w < 0.6$), intermediate moisture foods (IMF, $0.6 \le a_w < 0.85$), and high moisture foods (HMF, $a_w \ge 0.85$); and (3) the potential solutions to improve storage stability of food protein hydrolysates. In addition, we note there is a great need for evaluation of biofunction availability of bioactive peptides in food protein hydrolysates during storage.

Keywords Water activity, moisture, bioactive peptide, disulfide, Maillard reaction, biofunction

INTRODUCTION

The global use of protein ingredients in formulated foods, beverages, and dietary supplements is estimated to be at 5.5 million metric tons by 2018 (Figure 1A) (Frost and Sullivan, 2012a, b) and exceed \$24.5 billion by 2015 (Global Industry Analysts, 2010). The United States, which accounts for more than one-fifth of the global protein ingredients market, is projected to expand at an annual average growth rate ranging between 8 and 9% over the period 2010–2015 (Global Industry Analysts, 2010).

Based on their molecular integrity, food protein ingredients can be classified into two types: intact proteins (native or denatured) and their hydrolysates. In this review, protein hydrolysates are defined as mixtures of polypeptides, oligopeptides, and amino acids that are produced from various animal and plant protein sources using physical (heat or shear) or chemical (acid, alkali, or enzyme) hydrolysis. For the reader's convenience, the characteristics of the major intact proteins in three important foods, i.e., cow's milk (Table 1), hen egg white (Table 2), and soy (Table 3), are summarized, respectively. In addition, the manufacturing characteristics of several commercial powdered protein hydrolysates discussed in this review are shown in Table 4.

Protein hydrolysates actually have been used in human food for several thousand years. For example, the earliest known ancestor of today's soy sauce, a condiment produced from hydrolyzed soy proteins, was made in China in 160 AD (Shurtleff and Aoyagi, 2012). In recent years, mainly due to the specific health benefits associated with (1) the released bioactive peptides, (2) the reduction of protein allergenicity, and (3) the improved protein digestibility and absorption, the utilization of protein hydrolysates in functional foods and beverages for both protein supplementation and clinical use has significantly increased. Between 2005 and 2010, the global production of protein hydrolysates increased about 32% (Dairymark.com, 2010). The global production of whey protein hydrolysates (WPH), one of the major food protein hydrolysates, is projected to have an annual average growth rate of about 3.4% between 2008 and 2018 (Figure 1B) (Frost and Sullivan, 2012a). In the United States, protein hydrolysate-based baby formula accounted for about 29% of all 2011 sales (Mintel, 2012a). For the specific health benefits from different food protein hydrolysates, the readers can refer to many excellent review articles related to animal sources (Kristinsson and Rasco, 2000; Moskowitz, 2000; Terracciano et al., 2002; Bello and Oesser, 2006; Manninen, 2009; Ahhmed and Muguruma,

Address correspondence to Theodore P. Labuza, Department of Food Science and Nutrition, University of Minnesota, 1334 Eckles Ave., St. Paul, MN 55108, USA. E-mail: tplabuza@umn.edu

Color versions of one or more of the figures in the article can be found online at www.tandfonline.com/bfsn



Figure 1 Total market volume of (A) global food protein ingredients and (B) global cow's milk protein ingredients (2008–2018) (Frost & Sullivan, 2012a, b).

2010; Di Bernardini et al., 2011; Herpandi et al., 2011), plant sources (Aluko, 2008; Sun, 2011), or both sources (Kitts and Weiler, 2003; Potier and Tome, 2008; Udenigwe and Aluko, 2012). In addition, protein hydrolysates have been widely used by the food industry to improve the quality of finished products, especially their storage stability. These functionalities are summarized in Table 5.

Although the specific health benefits from different hydrolysates are mostly supportable scientifically, the consistency of these benefits is debatable because of quality changes

Protein	% of milk proteins ^a	Major genetic variants ^b	Isoionic point ^c	Isoelectric point ^b	Molecular weight (kDa) ^b	Denaturation temperature (°C) ^e	Sulfhydryl group ^f	Disulfide group ^f
Caseins	78.3					#		
α_{S1} -Casein	32	В	4.92-5.05	4.44-4.76	23.6			
		С	5.00-5.35		23.5			
α_{S2} -Casein	8.4	А			25.2		0	1
β-Casein	26	A^1	5.41		24.0			
		A^2	5.30	4.83-5.07	24.0			
		В	5.53		24.1			
κ-Casein	9.3	А	5.77 (5.35)	5.45-5.77	19.0		0	1
		В	6.07 (5.37)	5.3-5.8	19.0			
γ-Casein	2.4		5.8-6.0 ^d					
γ_1 -Casein					20.5^{d}			
γ_2 -Casein					11.8 ^d			
γ_3 -Casein					11.6 ^d			
Whey proteins	19							
β -Lactoglobulin	9.8	А	5.35	5.13	18.4	78	1	2
		В	5.41	5.13	18.3			
α -Lactalbumin	3.7	В	4.2-4.5 ^d	4.2-4.5	14.2	62	0	4
Serum albumin	1.2	А	5.13	4.7-4.9	66.4	64	1	17
Immunoglobulin (Ig)	2.4							
IgG	1.8					72	0	32
IgG1			5.5-6.8 ^d	5.5-6.8	161			
IgG2			7.5-8.3 ^d	7.5-8.3	150			
IgA	0.4				385-417			
IgM	0.2				1000			

^{*a*}Data are from Walstra et al. (2006).

^bData are from Farrell et al. (2004).

^cData are from Eigel et al. (1984).

^dData are from Belitz et al. (2009).

^eDenaturation temperature in 0.7 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.0). Data are from Dewit and Klarenbeek (1984).

^fData are from Owusu-Apenten (2005).

[#]Casein has no characteristic denaturation temperature (Dickinson, 2006).

during storage that complicate digestibility. Storage stability (shelf life stability) of foods is a measure of how long food products retain optimal quality after production (Labuza, 1982).

In general, food products can be classified into three types according to their water activities (a_w) at room temperature, i.e., low moisture foods (LMF, $a_w < 0.6$) such as powdered foods, intermediate moisture foods (IMF, $0.6 \le a_w < 0.85$) such as high protein nutrition bars (HPNB), and high moisture foods (HMF, $a_w \ge 0.85$) such as protein beverages (Labuza et al., 1972). In this review of more recent studies, we discuss the quality changes occurring in different food protein hydrolysates during storage, and the resulting changes in the structure and texture of three food matrices (LMF, IMF and HMF) as well as the potential solutions to improve storage stability of food protein hydrolysates.

GENERAL MOISTURE SORPTION PROPERTIES

It is well known that the moisture sorption isotherm is an extremely valuable tool for the prediction of potential changes in food stability (Labuza et al., 1970). The moisture sorption

isotherm depicts the relationship between equilibrium moisture content and a_w at a constant temperature. In general, different powdered protein hydrolysates show a type II moisture sorption isotherm (Figure 2) that can be modeled well using the Guggenheim–Anderson–deBoer (GAB) equation (*Equation 1*) (Van den Berg and Bruin, 1981; Labuza et al., 1985). The GAB monolayer moisture values (m_0) of different protein hydrolysate systems were similar to their intact protein at room temperature (~23°C, ~6 g H₂O/100 g solid, Table 6), indicating that protein hydrolysis exposes few, if any, new adsorption sites (Zhou and Labuza, 2007). The m_0 is generally around an a_w of 0.2–0.3 (Table 6) (Bell and Labuza, 2000b). It must be noted that the optimal moisture for maximum shelf life is below the GAB m_0 where no aqueous phase reactions take place (Bell and Labuza, 2000a).

$$m = \frac{m_0 k C a_{\rm w}}{(1 - k a_{\rm w})(1 - k a_{\rm w} + k C a_{\rm w})} \tag{1}$$

Where m_0 is the monolayer moisture value, k is a multilayer factor, and C is the surface heat constant.

Table 1 Major proteins in cow's milk

Table 2Major proteins in hen egg white*

Protein	% of egg white proteins ^a	Isoelectric point a	^b Molecular weight (kDa) ^{ab}	^d Denaturation temperature (°C) ^a	^c Sulfhydryl group	Disulfide group
Ovalbumin	54.0	4.5 (5.1–5.3)	45.0 (42.4)	84.0 (71.5)	4 ^e	1 ^e
Ovotransferrin	12.0	6.1 (6.2–6.7)	76.0 (85-75)	61.0 (57.3)	$0^{\rm f}$	15 ^f
Ovomucoid	11.0	4.1 (5.0-5.3)	28.0 (37.2-43.1)	79.0	0^{g}	9 ^g
Ovomucin	3.5	4.5-5.0				+i
α_1 -Ovomucin			[150]			
α_2 -Ovomucin			[220]			
β -Ovomucin			[400]			
Lysozyme	3.4	10.7	14.3 (15.0)	75.0 (81.5)	0^{h}	4 ^h
Globulin				(72.0)		
Ovoglobulin		(6.1–5.3)				
G2 globulin	4.0	5.5	30.0-45.0	92.5		
G3 globulin	4.0	4.8				
Ovoinhibitor	1.5	5.1 (6.2-6.4)	49.0 (69.5-63.6)			
Ovoglycoprotein	1.0	3.9 (5.0-5.4)	24.4 (37.2-43.1)			
Ovoflavoprotein	0.8	4.0 (5.0-5.2)	32.0 (37.4-43.1)			+
Ovomacroglobulin	0.5	4.5	769			+
Cystatin	0.05	5.1 (6.1)	12.7 (17.0)			+
Avidin	0.05	10.0	68.3	85.0		+

*Table was reprinted with permission from the study of Rao et al. (2012a). Copyright (2012) American Chemical Society.

^{*a*}Data are from Li-Chan et al. (1995).

^bData shown in parentheses are from Guerin-Dubiard et al. (2006).

^cDenaturation temperature in water or buffer. Data shown in parentheses are from Johnson and Zabik (1981).

^dData shown in square brackets are from Itoh et al. (1987).

^eData are from Fothergill and Fothergill (1970).

^fData are from Williams (1982).

^gData are from Kato et al. (1987).

^{*h*}Data are from Canfield (1963).

^{*i*} +: protein molecule contains disulfide bonds. Data are from Li-Chan and Kim (2007) and Nagase et al. (1983).

Table 3 Major proteins in soy

Protein	% of soy proteins ^{ab}	Isoelectric point	Molecular weight (kDa) ^{ed}	Denaturation temperature $(^{\circ}C)^{f}$	Sulfhydryl group ^{gk}	Disulfide group ⁸
Glycinin (11S)	36.5-51.0	4.7^{c}	300-380	94.1	12-20#	5–13
Acidic polypeptides					6/mole glycinin	
A3 chain			42.0		4	
A1,2,4 chains			33.6-37.0		6	
Basic polypeptides			20.7		6/mole glycinin	
β -Conglycinin (7S)	27.8-40.7	$4.9-5.0^{\circ}$	150-200	76.7	2#	0
α ' polypeptides			72.0-82.2		1	
α polypeptides			68.0-70.6		1	
β polypeptides			48.4-52.0		0	
γ-Conglycinin	5.0-6.2		163–177 ^j			
Basic 7S globulin	3.6	$9.1 - 9.3^{i}$	168^{i}			
Kunitz trypsin inhibitor (2S)	2.9-4.1	3.8^{h}	20.1^{h}		4^h	2^h

^aData are from Murphy and Resurreccion (1984).

^bData are from Sato et al. (1986).

^cData from Koshiyama (1972).

^dData from Fontes et al. (1984).

^{*e*}Data from Sathe et al. (1987).

^fDenaturation temperature in powder equilibrated at 50% relative humidity. Data from Tang et al. (2007).

^{*g*}Glycinin data are from Wolf (1993).

^hData are from Koide and Ikenaka (1973).

^{*i*}Data from Sato et al. (1987).

^jData from Sato et al. (1984).

^kData from Utsumi et al. (1997).

#Total sulfhydryl groups.

	Protein hydro	lysates	Degree of hydrolysis (%)	Average molecular weight (kDa)	Free amino acids (%)	Protein (% dry basis)	Sugar (% dry basis)	Fat (% dry basis)	a _w	Moisture content (g H ₂ O/ 100 g solid)	Reference
Origin	Brand name	Manufacturer*									
Whey	BioZate 1	Davisco	5.2			97.1	0.08	0.3	0.24	6.9	Zhou and Labuza (2007)
	BioZate 3		8.5			95.1		0.3		4.5	Tran (2009)
	BioZate 7		14.9			89.4		0.3		6.0	
	WE 80-M	DMV	16	3.0	2						Netto et al. (1998)
	WE 80-BG		30	0.5	4						
	LE 80-BT		41	2.0	35						
Casein	CAS 90-F	DMV	4	16.7	<1						Netto et al. (1998)
	CAS 90-GBT		23	0.8	13						
	CAS90-STL		44	0.4	17						
Egg	EP-1 #400	Deb-El	7–14	<10		76	0.07		0.29	6.0	Rao and Labuza

 Table 4
 Manufacturing characteristics of several commercial powdered protein hydrolysates

*Davisco: Davisco Foods International, Inc. (Eden Prairie, MN, USA); DMV: DMV International (Lacrosse, WI, USA); Deb-El: Deb-El Food Products, LLC (Elizabeth, NJ, USA).

For formulated foods containing protein hydrolysates, during postproduction (storage and distribution), the external factors impacting shelf life are light intensity, oxygen level, packaging permeability, temperature, and relative humidity, while the intrinsic factors of storage stability are surface hydrophobicity, presence of reducing sugars, moisture content (a_w) , pH, glass transition temperature (T_g) and degree of hydrolysis (DH), etc. DH is defined as the proportion of the total number of peptide bonds that are cleaved during hydrolysis and is calculated as follows:

$$DH(\%) = h/h_{tot} \times 100$$

Where *h* is the number of hydrolyzed peptide bonds, and h_{tot} is the total number of peptide bonds present which is dependent on the amino acid composition of the raw material

 Table 5
 Functionality of food protein hydrolysates in the food industry

Type*	Functionality	Origin	Typical reference
General applicable	Flavor	Mollusca	Silva et al. (2011)
**	Release bioactive peptides	Meat	Ahhmed and Muguruma (2010)
	Reduction of allergenicity	Casein, whey, soy, rice	Terracciano et al. (2002)
		Pea, bean	Aluko (2008)
	Improved protein digestibility	Whey, casein	Manninen (2009)
		Whey, casein, soy, pea	Potier and Tome (2008)
LMF	Oxidation inhibition	Fish	Thiansilakul et al. (2007)
IMF	Plasticizer	Whey	McMahon et al. (2009)
		Soy	Cho Myong (2010)
	Lipid oxidation inhibition	Egg	Sakanaka et al. (2004)
HMF	Emulsion	Whey	Singh and Dalgleish (1998)
		Whey	Lajoie et al. (2001)
		Whey	Turgeon et al. (1996)
		Milk	Agboola and Dalgleish (1996)
	Lipid oxidation inhibition	Whey, soy	Pena-Ramos and Xiong (2003)
		Potato	Wang and Xiong (2005)
		Fish	Samaranayaka and Li-Chan (2008)
	Microbial inhibitor	Soy	Vallejo-Cordoba et al. (1987)
	Increase of water holding capacity	Fish	Slizyte et al. (2005)
	Decrease rate of protein denaturation	Fish	Khan et al. (2003)
		Crustacean	Zhang et al. (2002)
		Mollusca, crustacean	Yamashita et al. (2003)
	Flavor	Soy	Sun (2011)

*LMF: low moisture foods ($a_w < 0.6$); IMF: intermediate moisture foods ($0.6 \le a_w < 0.85$); HMF: high moisture foods ($a_w \ge 0.85$).



Figure 2 Moisture sorption isotherms of: (A) WPH (BioZate 1, WE 80-M, WE 80-BG and LE 80-BT); (B) casein hydrolysates (CH: CAS 90-GBT and CAS 90-STL); (C) hydrolyzed hen egg white (HEW: EP-1 #400) and hydrolyzed mussel meat from *Perna perna*; (D) myofibrillar protein hydrolysates (MPH) from Nile tilapia (degree of hydrolysis (DH): 12%, 14%, 15.5%, 47.5%, and 81.5%). Note: All the samples were stored at room temperature (23–25°C). (A) Was plotted based on the results of Zhou and Labuza (2007) and Netto et al. (1998). (B) Was plotted based on the results of Netto et al. (1998). (C) Was plotted based on the results of Rao and Labuza (2012) and Silva et al. (2011). (D) Was plotted based on the results of Jardim et al. (1999). The characteristics of these powdered protein hydrolysates are summarized in Tables 4 and 6.

(Nielsen et al., 2001). There is no standard method for determining DH. Instead, many methods have been developed and are commonly used to determine the DH of protein hydrolysates (Rutherfurd, 2010). As seen in Figure 2 (A, B and D), when the a_w is higher than 0.7, the higher the DH, the greater is the moisture holding capacity in the powdered protein hydrolysates. It seems that at the higher DH, more hydrophilic groups in the hydrolyzed protein are exposed. This obviously is part of the increased plasticizing effect at higher DH thereby lowering the T_g .

It is also very clear that the physical changes in food powders are affected by the matrix composition. One of the mechanisms, formation of liquid bridges, is postulated for these changes (Downton et al., 1982; Masuda et al., 2006). A liquid bridge can be formed at the contact point between two particles by moisture condensation due to vapor pressure depression between the particles. This adhesive force is determined by the size of the particle, the surface tension of liquid, the capillary pressure inside the liquid bridge, and the distance between particles (Masuda et al., 2006). Compared with intact proteins, the average molecular weight of protein hydrolysates is usually smaller. Therefore, at the same a_w , it is easier to form a liquid bridge between two hydrolyzed protein particles.

Several studies have reported that when different hydrolyzed protein powders were stored at different temperatures, after short-term storage at different a_ws , similar physical changes in the powder systems, such as agglomeration, stickiness, caking (inter-particle bridging), and structural collapse (flow under the force of gravity), were noted in the range of middle to high a_w (Table 9).

GENERAL GLASS TRANSITION PROPERTIES

It is well known that the physical storage stability parameters of food powders is closely related to the T_g (Levine and

Protein hydrolysates		Degree of hydrolysis (%) m_0^* (g H ₂ O/ 100 g solid)		$a_{\rm w}$ at m_0	v_v at $m_0 k^*$		$MAPE^*$	Reference	
Origin	Brand name								
Whey	BioZate 1	5.2	6.1	0.10	0.93	60.4	3.1	Zhou and Labuza (2007)	
-	WE 80-M	16	5.2	0.25	1.01	14.4	4.6	Netto et al. (1998)	
	WE 80-BG	30	6.8	0.30	1.07	4.9	2.1		
	LE 80-BT	41	5.2	0.13	1.17	26.1	4.8		
Casein	CAS 90-F	4	6.4	0.31	0.86	6.9	1.7	Netto et al. (1998)	
	CAS 90-GBT	23	4.8	0.13	1.08	24.9	3.5		
	CAS 90-STL	44	5.6	0.33	1.20	7.2	3.4		
Egg	EP-1 #400	7–14	5.7	0.22	1.03	11.9	3.7	Rao and Labuza (2012)	
Chicken	LM^*	N/A [*]	14.1		0.33	5.8		Kurozawa et al. (2009)	
Mollusca	LM	N/A	13.7		0.94	2.3		Silva et al. (2011)	
Fish	LM	12	5.9	0.03	1.09	963.2		Jardim et al. (1999)	
		14	5.6	0.07	1.11	136.9			
		15.5	5.9	0.08	1.12	119.1			
		47.5	5.8	0.04	1.13	420.1			
		81.5	4.7	0.13	1.20	30.8			

Table 6 Guggenheim–Anderson–de Boer (GAB) equation parameters of several powdered food protein hydrolysates stored at room temperature (23–25°C)

 $*m_0$ is the monolayer moisture value; k is a multilayer factor; C is the surface heat constant; MAPE: mean absolute percentage error; LM: laboratory-made; N/A: not available.

Slade, 1986). The T_g is the temperature and corresponding moisture point, below which at that moisture content, a product is glassy. Such a powder would be free flowing. Raising the temperature and/or increasing the moisture content to a point above the T_g brings the powder into the rubbery state, converting the system from a free flowing powder into a rubbery system with high hydrophilic surface interactions causing stickiness, caking, and eventually flow induced by gravity (Roos and Karel, 1990; Slade and Levine, 1991; Peleg, 1993; Netto et al., 1998; Labuza and Labuza, 2004). It should be clarified that moisture itself has effect on T_g , which is mentioned below and different from the effect of storage temperature.

Since the T_g of a food product is an important parameter to determine its storage stability, many different models have been developed to predict this value (Khalloufi et al., 2000; Katkov and Levine, 2004). Among these prediction models, the Gordon–Taylor equation (*Equation 2*) (Gordon and Taylor, 1952) has several advantages: (1) it recognizes a food product as a binary mixture (water and solids); (2) it is easy to calculate; (3) it requires knowledge of only a minimum number of easily measurable parameters; and (4) it has a good estimate of

Table 7Gordon–Taylor equation parameters of several powdered food protein hydrolysates stored at room temperature (23–25°C)

Protein hydrolysates		Degree of hydrolysis (%)	Thydrolysis (%) T_{gs}^*		MAPE*	Reference
Origin	Brand name					
Whey	BioZate 1	5.2	138.9	3.04		Zhou and Labuza (2007)
•	BioZate 3	8.5	157.6	4.69		
	BioZate 7	14.9	142.3	4.60		
	WE 80-M	16	119.4	6.83		Netto et al. (1998)
	WE 80-BG	30	73.03	3.91		
	LE 80-BT	41	87.02	4.46		
Casein	CAS 90-GBT	23	108.0	5.27		Netto et al. (1998)
	CAS 90-STL	44	68.6	3.75		
Egg	EP-1 #400	7–14	118.9	4.38	5.9	Rao and Labuza (2012)
Chicken	LM^*	N/A [*]	44.4	2.59		Kurozawa et al. (2009)
Mollusca	LM	N/A	64.4	3.60		Silva et al. (2011)
Fish	LM	12	59.2	2.11		Jardim et al. (1999)
		14	73.9	2.60		
		15.5	67.4	2.05		
		47.5	132.7	5.32		
		81.5	N/A	N/A		

 T_{gs} is the Glass transition temperature of the solid component; K is a constant; MAPE: mean absolute percentage error; LM: laboratory-made; N/A: not available.

the experimental data in most cases (Hancock and Zografi, 1994). Therefore, it has been widely used in many food studies (Table 7).

$$T_{\rm g,blend} = \frac{w_1 T_{\rm g1} + K w_2 T_{\rm g2}}{w_1 + K w_2} \tag{2}$$

Where $T_{g,blend}$ is the T_g of the binary mixture; w_1 and w_2 are the weight fractions of the components; T_{g1} and T_{g2} are the T_{gs} of the components; K is a constant. It can be modified as shown in *Equation 3* to predict the effect of moisture content on the T_g of a food product. T_{gs} is the T_g of the solid component in its dry form; w_w is the weight fraction of water. The commonly accepted T_g of pure water, i.e., T_{g2} in *Equation 2*, is -135° C (Johari et al., 1987). It must be noted that the T_g of pure water is still uncertain, it is sometimes taken as a fitting parameter in the Gordon–Taylor equation (Velikov et al., 2001; Le Meste et al., 2002; Katkov and Levine, 2004). The T_g curve for several food protein hydrolysates using this model is shown in Figure 3.

$$T_{\rm g,blend} = \frac{(1 - w_{\rm w})T_{\rm gs} - 135Kw_{\rm w}}{(1 - w_{\rm w}) + Kw_{\rm w}}$$
(3)

In addition, both the physical and chemical reaction rates are increased with an increase in moisture content because the water molecule plasticizes the amorphous structure increasing particle mobility and causes the T_g of the food matrix to decrease below the storage temperature (Pittia and Sacchetti, 2008). With greater moisture content or higher temperature in the rubbery zone, more reactants dissolve and their mobility increases, resulting in faster reaction rates (Bell, 2007).

As seen in Figure 3A, it is commonly accepted that the higher the DH, the smaller the average molecular weight, and the lower the T_g . However, it must be mentioned that several studies did not follow this relationship (Figure 3B, C and D). Actually, this relationship to some extent depends on (1) the instrument used and (2) the experimental analyst whether he/ she can correctly determine the T_g of the food sample, especially when the food sample has high moisture content.

It has been reported that protein hydrolysis can dramatically decrease the T_g (Rao and Labuza, 2012). For example, at a_w 0.844, the difference of T_g between intact hen egg white powder (64°C) and hydrolyzed hen egg white powder (HEW, -48° C) is 112°C (Rao and Labuza, 2012). This makes the powder system very unstable and subject to the results of increased molecular mobility (Netto et al., 1998; Zhou and Labuza, 2007). In amorphous powdered protein hydrolysates, the resistance to flow (storage modulus or local viscosity) has an inverse function for the difference between the storage temperature (T_{storage}) and T_g ($\Delta T = T_{\text{storage}} - T_g$) (Aguilera et al., 1995; Netto et al., 1998; Labuza et al., 2004). At very low moisture content, powdered protein hydrolysates generally

exist in the amorphous glassy state, i.e., the T_{g} is well above room temperature (Figure 3) (Chuy and Labuza, 1994). Hydrophilic agglomeration (hydrogen bonding) generally dominates at 10 to 20°C above the T_g . Agglomeration is associated under conditions at which the force required to stir food powders increases dramatically because of stickiness (Chuy and Labuza, 1994; Aguilera et al., 1995). As more moisture is gained or the product is stored longer, the second stage-caking occurs. Caking involves recrystallization of the sugars (e.g., lactose or sucrose) and forms physical bridges between the particles which upon drying makes the system very rigid. It generally occurs about 20 to 40°C above the $T_{\rm g}$ depending on the types of sugars present. Collapse is the stage where the powder loses its structure and begins to flow. It usually occurs about 60°C above the $T_{\rm g}$ (Labuza et al., 2004; Rao and Labuza, 2012). For example, combined with the glass transition diagram of a commercial HEW (Figure 3E), these visible physical changes during storage can be explained clearly (Figure 4B).

CHEMICAL REACTIONS DURING STORAGE

Nonenzymatic browning (NEB) has been observed in different powdered protein hydrolysates during storage at medium to high a_w , indicating that the Maillard reaction occurred, even when only small amounts of residual reducing sugars were present (Netto et al., 1998; Rao and Labuza, 2012; Rao et al., 2012b). These changes usually occur over time as a function of increased storage temperature and relative humidity. For example, the effect of moisture content on the color change in HEW after four months of storage at 23°C is shown in Figure 4. It was noted that although the amount of residual glucose (reducing sugar) in HEW involved in the Maillard reaction is very small ($\leq 0.07\%$) (Rao and Labuza, 2012), it has significant impact on product quality.

During product processing, including hydrolysis and subsequent heat treatments (pasteurization and spray-drying), the extent of peptide aggregation is influenced by the type of enzyme used (Otte et al., 1997; Otte et al., 2000; Groleau et al., 2003a; Spellman et al., 2005; Creusot and Gruppen, 2007a), the hydrolysis time (Su et al., 2008), acidic pH (Groleau et al., 2003b), the DH, temperature and ionic strength (Creusot et al., 2006), high pressure (Penas et al., 2004; Quiros et al., 2007; Bruins et al., 2009), and the presence of other proteins (Creusot and Gruppen, 2007b, 2008).

During postproduction, peptide aggregates also have been observed in different powdered protein hydrolysates obtained from hen egg white and soy proteins (Table 9). The term "aggregates" refers to any self-associated state of proteins/peptides, involved in covalent bonding, that is effectively irreversible under the conditions it forms (Weiss et al., 2009). It must be noted that during *in vitro* studies, protein/peptide aggregates can be classified into two categories based on their solubility in the selected buffer: either soluble or insoluble. For



Figure 3 The glass transition diagrams of: (A) WPI (BiPro) and WPH (BioZate 1, BioZate 3 and BioZate 7); (B) casein hydrolysates (CH: CAS 90-GBT and CAS 90-STL); (C) WPH(WE 80-M, WE 80-BG and LE 80-BT); (D) intact myofibrillar protein (MP) from Nile tilapia and its hydrolysates (MPH, the degree of hydrolysis (DH) were 12%, 14%, 15.5%, and 47.5%, respectively); (E) hydrolyzed hen egg white (HEW: EP-1 #400). Note: (A) was plotted based on the results of Zhou and Labuza (2007) and unpublished results from Dr. Labuza. (B) and (C) were plotted based on the results of Netto et al. (1998). (D) Was plotted based on the results of Jardim et al. (1999). (E) Was reprinted and modified from the study of Rao and Labuza (2012) with permission from Elsevier Ltd. The characteristics of these powdered protein hydrolysates are summarized in Tables 4 and 7. BiPro, whey protein isolate (WPI), was obtained from Davisco Foods International, Inc. (Eden Prairie, MN, USA).



Figure 4 (A) Effect of moisture content on the color (L^{*} value) and hardening of hydrolyzed hen egg white (HEW: EP-1 #400) after four months of storage at 23°C. The vertical dotted line indicates the minimum moisture content (12.0%, $a_w = 0.54$) that showed the peptide aggregation. (B) Images of color changes in HEW after four months of storage at 23°C. Note: Figure was reprinted and modified from the study of Rao and Labuza (2012) with permission from Elsevier Ltd.

example (Zhou et al., 2008b), in Table 8, after the addition of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), guanidine HCl, or urea, the solubility of phosphate buffer (PB)-insoluble aggregates increased slightly compared with the control, i.e., PB. This suggested that neither hydrophobic interactions nor hydrogen bond formation was the major factor causing protein aggregation in this protein/buffer dough model. However, after the addition of dithiothreitol (DTT), more than 90% PB-insoluble aggregates were dissolved, indicating that the formation of intermolecular disulfide bonds played an important role in protein aggregation during storage at 35°C for three weeks (Table 8). It must be noted that the digestibility of these buffer-soluble and buffer-insoluble aggregates still needs to be confirmed through *in vivo* studies as this is critical to ensure

Table 8	Solubility of buffer-insoluble	whey protein	aggregates*	in different
buffers co	ntaining denaturing and/or redu	ucing chemica	als†	

Buffer [#]	Solubility of whey protein aggregates (% \pm SD)
10 mM phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.0)	4.4 ± 0.6
PB with 0.1% SDS (g/mL)	8.2 ± 0.8
PB with 6 M guanidine HCl	10.9 ± 0.7
PB with 8 M urea	11.6 ± 1.7
PB with 10 mM DTT	92.2 ± 0.9
PB with 0.1% SDS (g/mL) and 10 mM DTT	97.1 ± 1.7

[†]Table was reprinted and modified with permission from the study of Zhou et al. (2008b). Copyright (2008) American Chemical Society.

^{*}The buffer-insoluble aggregates refer to those formed in a protein/buffer dough system ($a_w = 0.98$) after storage at 35°C for three weeks. The buffer used was 10 mM phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7).

[#]HCl: hydrochloric acid; SDS: sodium dodecyl sulfate; DTT: dithiothreitol.

that the protein/peptide bioactivity is preserved. Unfortunately, the studies related to the influence of peptide aggregation during storage on the biofunction availability of bioactive peptides in the food products are very limited.

During storage, moisture-induced aggregation of powdered protein hydrolysates also can result in dramatic changes in their structure and matrix texture (Netto et al., 1998; Zhou and Labuza, 2007; Lv et al., 2009; Rao and Labuza, 2012). When the relative humidity is high, moisture-induced aggregates in protein hydrolysates can form physically (noncovalent interactions) and/or chemically (covalent interactions). For noncovalent interactions, there is a positive correlation between the hardness (protein/peptide aggregation) and the surface hydrophobicity of protein hydrolysates. The hydrophobicity mainly depends on the amount of hydrophobic peptides after hydrolysis. This can also significantly affect their water solubility. For covalent interactions, mainly depending on the amount of (1) sulfhydryl and disulfide groups and (2) carbonyl groups in the powdered protein hydrolysates, the presence of moisture can induce two above-mentioned chemical reactions. One is the disulfide interaction; another is the Maillard reaction. For example, after four-month storage at different a_{ws} at 23°C, in the range of $a_{\rm w}$ 0.54 to 0.64, aggregation increased the hardness of HEW significantly mainly due to the hydrophilic and disulfide interactions (Figure 4). In the range of a_w 0.74 to 0.84, Maillard reaction-induced aggregates could form through peptide polymerization (Rao and Labuza, 2012). In addition, it was assumed that the Maillard reaction and/or its resulting products might have a negative influence on intermolecular disulphide bonds (Rao and Labuza, 2012; Rao et al., 2012b). It is noted that many proteins in these foods contain sulfhydryl groups and/or disulfide bonds, although the relevant number differs and little is known about their distribution in peptides (Tables 1–3). Compared to whey, hen egg white and soy proteins, casein has the fewest number of sulfhydryl and disulfide groups (Tables 1-3), which could be the reason that casein hydrolysates are relatively stable in relation to physicochemical changes as compared to WPH under abusive storage conditions (Netto et al., 1998).

Another chemical reaction, lipid oxidation, can occur in formulated food matrices during storage, especially LMF and IMF systems. In general, lipid oxidation shows a minimum in the 0.2 to 0.35 a_w range (around the GAB m_0) and increases in rate on both sides (Labuza, 1971). However, when the formulated food products contain protein hydrolysates, the negative effect of lipid oxidation maybe reduced significantly during storage because many protein hydrolysates have been reported to exhibit antioxidative activity (Table 5). Even so, the antioxidative ability of different protein hydrolysates still needs to be confirmed experimentally. However, it must be noted that fish protein hydrolysates are prone to oxidation due to the high content of unsaturated fatty acids (Sohn et al., 2005; Yarnpakdee et al., 2012a; Yarnpakdee et al., 2012b).

LOW-MOISTURE FOODS (LMF)

As mentioned above, the a_w of LMF is usually much less than 0.6. Obviously, food protein powders belong in this category. In 2012, the market size of global protein powder for sports nutrition will exceed \$4.5 billion (Figure 5A) (Euromonitor International, 2012). In 2011, more than 76% of the sales of baby formula in the United States (\$3.7 billion) is powder. of which more than 32% of the turnover contains protein hydrolysates (Figure 6), mainly WPH (79%) (Mintel, 2012a). For the physicochemical changes during storage in LMF containing protein hydrolysates, such as powdered protein hydrolysates, the reader can refer to the previous sections in this review and Table 9. It must be noted that for LMF the optimal moisture for maximum shelf life is below the GAB m_0 where no aqueous phase reactions take place (Bell and Labuza, 2000b). If kept below the moisture content for the T_{σ} at room temperature, several physicochemical changes, i.e., stickiness, caking, and collapse, can be prevented in LMF (Labuza and Labuza, 2004).

INTERMEDIATE-MOISTURE FOODS (IMF)

IMF are products with a moderate moisture content and a moderate a_w created to be shelf-stable without refrigeration (Pavey and Schack, 1969; Karel and Heidelbaugh, 1973; Taoukis et al., 1988). IMF's moisture is generally in the range of 10 to 40%, and its a_w is generally from 0.6 to 0.85 at room temperature (Labuza et al., 1972; Erickson, 1982; Taoukis and Richardson, 2007).

In recent years, HPNB is a rapidly growing sector of the sports nutrition, muscle building, health supplement, and weight reduction markets (Wright, 2011; Mintel, 2012b). The global market size of protein bars for sports nutrition is projected to grow at an annual average growth rate about 9.8%



Figure 5 Total market size of (A) global and (B) the US protein production for sports nutrition (1997–2016) (Euromonitor International, 2012).

between 1997 and 2016 (Figure 5A) (Euromonitor International, 2012). Most of the commercial bars fit into the IMF category, and are generally comprised of proteins, various carbohydrates, and other plasticizers (glycerol, maltitol,

sorbitol and xylitol) (Liu et al., 2009). HPNB are typically formulated to have an a_w of about 0.6 at room temperature to ensure microbial stability (Davis, 2005; Hazen, 2010). One major problem for commercial HPNB is that they generally

	Sai	nple information		Stora	ge conditions			
Origin	Method of hydrolysis	Degree of hydrolysis (%)	Peptide sequence	Time	Temp (°C)	$a_{ m w}$	Major results	Reference
Milk	Fermentation	N/A [*]	VPP IPP	six months	15–22.5	N/A	• The concentrations of two tripeptides in the powdered fermented milk remained constant after six months of storage at room temperature	Kurosaki et al. (2005) Maeno et al. (2005a) Maeno et al. (2005b) Matsuura et al. (2005) Mizuno et al. (2005)
Whey	Enzyme	16-41	N/A	two-three weeks	22	0.05–0.85	 After one week, varied from hard to a gummy mass and liquefied as a_w increased The color varied from cream to dark tan At a_w 0.55, showed some stickiness 	Netto et al. (1998)
	Enzyme	5.2	N/A	two weeks	23, 45	0.11-0.85	• Protein solubility remained constant when $a < 0.6$	Zhou and Labuza (2007)
Casein	Enzyme	4-44	N/A	two-three weeks	22	0.05-0.85	 Small changes in structure as the a_w increased, varying from powdery to hard At a_w 0.55, presented some shrinkage in volume and slight hardness The appearance of the samples did not change after one week under the same storage conditions 	Netto et al. (1998)
Egg	Enzyme	7–14	N/A	seven months	23	0.05-0.85	 When moisture content ≥ 12% (dry basis), both color and hardness changed dramatically Noncovalent bonding and covalent interactions (disulfide interaction and the Maillard reaction) resulted in moisture induced aggregates in the hydrolyzed protein 	Rao and Labuza (2012)
	Enzyme	7–14	N/A	two months	45	0.05-0.79	 Structural changes occurred including agglomeration, stickiness and collapse when the storage temperature was greater than the <i>T_g</i>. A first-order hyperbolic model fit for the change in three storage quality parameters. The reduction in the remaining free amino groups was about 5% at <i>a_w</i> 0.50 after one month of 	Rao et al. (2012b)

Table 9 Storage stability of food protein hydrolysates in low moisture foods (LMF, $a_w < 0.6$)

(Continued on next page)

storage.

• Significant quality loss was found at $a_{\rm w} > 0.31$

Q. RAO ET AL.

Table 9 Storage stability of food protein hydrolysates in low moisture foods (LMF, $a_w < 0.6$) (*Continued*)

	Sa	mple information		Storage conditions					
Origin	Method of hydrolysis	Degree of hydrolysis (%)	Peptide sequence	Time	Temp (°C)	a_{w}	Major results	Reference	
Fish	Enzyme	60	N/A	six weeks	4, 25	N/A	 The antioxidative activities and solubility of round scad protein hydrolysates slightly decreased Yellowness of the protein hydrolysates became more intense as the storage time increased but the rate of increase was more pronounced at 25°C than at 4°C 	Thiansilakul et al. (2007)	
	Enzyme	23.8-44.7	N/A	three months	20	N/A	 Color and nonenzymatic browning measurements indicated significant darkening during storage The formation of brown pigments may result from aldol condensation of carbonyls produced from lipid oxidation upon reaction with basic groups in protein 	Hoyle and Merritt (1994)	
Soy	Enzyme	N/A	N/A	44 days	-20	N/A	 During storage, some high molecular weight peptides formed from the original soy protein hydrolysates (SPH). The content of the newly formed high molecular weight peptides produced from the highly hydrophobic SPH was considerably large The results suggested that hydrophobic interaction may promote the aggregation of SPH during storage 	Lv et al. (2009)	

*N/A: not available.



Figure 6 Selected brand sales of baby formula in the United States in 2011 (Mintel, 2012a).

become harder over time without moisture loss, making the product unacceptable to consumers (Ahmed, 2004; Hazen, 2010; Berry, 2011; Hutchinson, 2009; Wade, 2005). Recently, several possible mechanisms related to moisture-induced bar hardening during storage have been elucidated. One chemical mechanism is the above-mentioned protein-protein interactions through disulfide bond formation/exchange and/or noncovalent interactions, resulting in formation of protein aggregates (Zhou et al., 2008a, 2008b; Liu et al., 2009; Zhu and Labuza, 2010; Rao et al., 2012a, 2013). Several other studies stated that during storage, changes in molecular mobility and changes in microstructure of protein bars driven by moisture migration might play an important role for hardening (Taillie, 2006; Li et al., 2008; Loveday et al., 2009). One study suggested that phase separation into large protein-rich and protein-depleted aqueous regions could be the mechanism



Figure 7 Effect of storage time at 23°C on (A) the color, (B) the hardness, and (C) the free amino groups of six protein bar model systems (Tran, 2009). The dotted line (B) indicates 12 N. The bar models ($a_w = 0.61$) contained 35% protein (WPI:WPH = 26.25:8.75), 50% sugar (either HFCS/CS [25% HFCS + 25% CS] or maltitol), 5% glycerol, and 10% shortening (g/g). WPI: whey protein isolate (BiPro obtained from Davisco); WPH: whey protein hydrolysates (BioZate 1 and BioZate 3); HFCS: high fructose corn syrup; CS: corn syrup.

Q. RAO ET AL.

			Sample informat	ion			Storage	conditions		
Origin	Method of hydrolysis	Degree of hydrolysis (%)	Concentration (%, w/w)	Reducing sugar (%)	a_{w}	Matrix	Time	Temp (°C)	Major results	Reference
Whey	N/A [*]	N/A	9.5–38	0, 43	0.59-0.69	Bar	36 days	32	 Extent of browning was HWPI/HFCS bars > WPI/HFCS bars > HWPI/SS bars > WPI/SS bars.[#] Bars made with partially hydrolyzed protein powders remained soft, especially when the carbohydrate was sorbitol rather than the glucose and fructose in HFCS 	McMahon et al. (2009)
	Enzyme	5–8.5	8.75	0, 25	0.61–0.68	Bar	6 months	23, 35, 45	 By replacing 25% (g/g) of WPI with WPH, the hardening rate was significantly lowered in the HFCS/CS model systems stored at 45°C The use of WPH resulted in increased browning in the HFCS/CS model systems 	Taterka (2009) Tran (2009)
									• The HFCS/ CS+WPI/WPH experienced the fastest loss rate of free amino groups due to an increase in molecular mobility from the use of hydrolysates	
Egg	Enzyme	7–14	N/A	< 0.07	0.81-0.85	Dough	70 days	23, 35, 45	 The addition of HEW could effectively reduce the dough hardening due to the decrease in the T_g of the IMF matrix The addition of hydrolyzed protein could decrease the storage stability mainly due to the 	Rao et al. (2013)

Maillard reaction.

Table 10 Storage stability of food protein hydrolysates in intermediate moisture foods (IMF, $0.6 \le a_w < 0.85$)

*N/A: not available.

[#] WPI: whey protein isolate; HWPI: hydrolyzed WPI; HFCS: high fructose corn syrup; SS: sorbitol syrup.

that initiates bar hardening and increases protein–protein interactions (McMahon et al., 2009). In addition, the Maillard reaction could also cause protein aggregation in IMF during storage through reducing sugar-induced formation of covalent bonds (polymerization) if reducing sugars are present in any ingredients or directly added (Labuza, 1980; Kato et al., 1990; Chevalier et al., 2001).

In order to solve bar-hardening problems, one can substitute some of the protein with protein hydrolysates, which serve as a plasticizer to increase the bar softness (Table 5 and Figure 7B). In general, unacceptable hardness occurs where force exceeds 12 Newton (N). As seen in Figure 7B, the hardness of the HFCS/CS+WPI bar model reached this point after 140day storage at 23°C. Substituting in WPH, either BioZate 1 or BioZate 3, showed the successful reduction of bar hardness for six months (Tran, 2009). This effect was more obvious when substituting in WPH with higher DH, i.e., BioZate 3 (Figure 7B). This is an effective way to lower the overall $T_{\rm g}$ of the final product, as discussed previously, resulting in not only controlling the initial hardness but also decreasing the rate of the reaction which can lead to protein aggregation and bar hardening during storage caused by higher local viscosity (Figure 7B). However, it must be noted that the real relationship between the percentage of protein hydrolysates and the $T_{\rm g}$ of the finished product still needs to be studied (Biliaderis et al., 2002).

One major problem related to IMF containing protein hydrolysates is that moisture-induced Maillard browning can occur during storage if reducing sugars are present (Figures 7A and 8). As seen in Figure 7A, substituting HFCS/CS (high fructose corn syrup/corn syrup, reducing sugars) with maltitol (sugar alcohol) eliminated a significant increase in darkening (lower L^{*} value) (Tran, 2009). Sugar alcohols also help maintain bar softness (Figure 7B). The maltitol+WPI bar model was harder than the two maltitol+WPI/BioZate systems (7 N vs. 3 N), but remained below 12 N during the 6-month storage at 23°C. Compared with bar hardening, darkening related to the Maillard reaction is seldom noticed by consumers. The major reason is that these undesirable changes are usually masked intentionally or accidentally by other added ingredients in IMF such as chocolate or caramel. Several studies have reported that protein bars containing WPH remained soft throughout storage yet had excessive browning and became black when HFCS was used (Table 10). In addition, as the Maillard reaction is largely responsible for the loss of free amino groups in IMF, its loss rate can be increased significantly during storage due to an increase in molecular mobility from the use of protein hydrolysates (Figure 7C). This quality loss may eventually lead to reduction of protein quality, such as lysine, an essential amino acid which becomes nutritionally unavailable. This may also cause loss of the claimed biofunction of protein hydrolysates in the products. Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, currently, the in vivo study related to biofunction quality of protein hydrolysates during storage in both LMF and IMF is very limited.

HIGH-MOISTURE FOODS (HMF)

HMF's moisture is generally greater than 40%, and its a_w is from 0.85 to 1.0 at room temperature (Labuza et al., 1972). In this range, bacteria including pathogens can grow so some pasteurization or sterilization may be needed during processing. Obviously, protein beverages belong in this category. Similar to HPNB, recently, the global market size of protein beverage for sports nutrition also increases rapidly, which is projected to grow at an annual average growth rate of about 14.6% between 1997 and 2016 (Figure 5A) (Euromonitor International, 2012). The typical HMF containing protein hydrolysates can be cheese, salad dressing, yogurt, and beverages (Table 11). To maximize their shelf life, these HMF products are usually required by the manufacturers to store under refrigerated condition (4-8°C) during postthermal processing. Besides storage temperature, the storage stability of HMF containing protein hydrolysates also depends on the peptide sequence, pH, and food matrix (Table 11). As mentioned above, the surface hydrophobicity of protein hydrolysates can significantly affect their water solubility. To prevent coagulation or reduce aggregates (soluble and/or insoluble) in HMF, it is worth using the hydrophilic fraction of protein hydrolysates, especially for high-value HMF products such as liquid baby formula (Table 11). There is a need to optimize the product processing procedure including the selection of enzyme for hydrolysis and the separation of insoluble protein particles.

It must be noted that some bioactive peptides in HMF may be degraded partial or totally by bacteria such as lactic acid bacteria in yogurt during fermentation, depending on the peptide sequence, the bacterial strain, and pH (Paul and Somkuti, 2009, 2010). To limit the overall extent of proteolysis, the bioactive peptides may be added at the end of the process (Paul and Somkuti, 2009). Even so, the susceptibility of bioactive peptides in the finished HMF may be still degradable by the living bacteria during post production (Vaslin, 2008). However, a thermal treatment and peptide encapsulation may avoid this adverse activity (Vaslin, 2008).

RECOMMENDATION FOR IMPROVING STORAGE STABILITY

Formulated food products containing protein hydrolysates constitute a large consumer sector due to consumer demand for high-quality nutritional and functional foods. Compared with their intact proteins, the storage stability of protein hydrolysates is compromised. Depending on the ingredients in the food matrix, several physicochemical reactions can occur during postproduction (storage and transportation), such as hydrophobic interactions, disulfide interactions, and the Maillard reaction (browning and polymerization). These undesirable reactions can lead to significant change in the color and the texture of the product. In addition, it must be stated that the

2018
January
60
10:56
at
Libraries]
University
Ξ
A&I
[Texas
by
ownloaded l
Ω

Table 11 Storage stability of food protein hydrolysates in high moisture foods (HMF, $a_w \ge 0.85$)

	Reference	Agboola and Dalgleish (1996)	Ryhanen et al. (2001)	Paul and Somkuti (2009)	Rivas et al. (2007)	Singh and Dalgleish (1998)	Turgeon et al. (1996)
	Major results	 After about two days of storage, a very small population of very large particles (between 40 and 80 μm) appeared in the emulsion formed with 0.5% caseinate that had been hydrolyzed for 30 minutes 	 ACE[#] inhibitory activity decreased when proteolysis exceeded a certain level during storage 	 The stabilizing effect of refrigeration that apparently prevents or minimizes additional peptide loss caused by proteolysis 	• ACE inhibiting capacity was not affected after 81 days	 Emulsions prepared from hydrolysates of DH ≤ 20% were stable during storage for up to five days However, the emulsions made with hydrolysates of DH >20% were not stable >20% were not stable Neither high nor low concentrations of hydrolysates were capable of producing long- term stability in these emulsions. 	 Peptidic fractions obtained from tryptic hydrolysates produced the most stable salad dressings (over six months at the 1.0% and 1.5% protein level) with rheological properties similar to a commercial mayonnaise
nditions	Temp (°C)	4	10	4	4	Ś	4, 25
Storage co	Time	seven days	16 weeks	10 days	81 days	five days	six months
	Matrix	20% soybean oil in water emulsion	Cheese	Yogurt starter culture	Beverage	3% soybean oil in water emulsion	Salad dressing
	Ηd	N/A	5.2	4.5.	3.99	N/A	N/A
n	Concentration (%, w/w)	0.5, 1 (w/v)	N/A	500 µg/ml	20 (v/v)	0.02-5	0.5-1.5
Sample informatio	Peptide sequence	N/A	α_{s1} -casein <i>N</i> -terminal peptides, f(1-9), f(1-7) and f(1-6).	FFVAPFPEVFGK, RRWQWRMKKLG	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Degree of hydrolysis (%)	N/A*	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.45	9.9–13.2
	Method of hydrolysis	Enzyme	Fermentation	Synthesis	Fermentation	Enzyme	Enzyme
	Origin	Milk			Whey		

Q. RAO ET AL.

(Continued on next page)

- Origin			Sample informati	ion			Storage c	onditions		
	Method of hydrolysis	Degree of hydrolysis (%)	Peptide sequence	Concentration (%, w/w)	Hq	Matrix	Time	Temp (°C)	- Major results	Reference
	Enzyme	9.9-9.9	МА	0.005 (w/v)	6. <i>5</i>	Liquid baby formula	six months	50	 With protein hydrolysate-based formulations, the creaming rate of the fat in the product was slightly higher than in the standard formulation (with carrageenan), which is indicative of lower storage stability Ultrafiltered tryptic hydrolysates in infant formulas may have contributed to the retardation of the separation of fat in the product and improve their storage 	Lajoie et al. (2001)
Casein	Enzyme	N/A	RYLGY, AYFYPEL	4	4.2	Commercial yoghurt	28 days	4	 stability. No significant reduction of either peptide was detected during the basic rise of the matter. 	Contreras et al. (2011)
	Enzyme	N/A	V PP, IPP	0.03	N/A	Water	nine days	2.5-8	 Concentrations of the product Concentrations of the tripeptides in dosing suspensions were consistently from 101% to 102.8% of concentrations determined immediately after 	Mizuno et al. (2005)
Beef	Synthesis	N/A	GFHI, DFHINQ, FHG, GLSDGEW	0.01	6-8	Water	two months	4	preparation • During storage, no significant difference was detected in both the pH adjusted and the temperature abused (70–100°C, for 20 minutes) samples	Jang et al. (2007)

Downloaded by [Texas A&M University Libraries] at 10:56 09 January 2018



Figure 8 Effect of storage time at 35°C on the color and hardness of a WPI/WPH bar model (26.25% WPI, 8.75% WPH, 25% corn syrup, 25% HFCS, 5% glycerol, and 10% shortening, g/g, $a_w = 0.61$). (B) Images of color changes in the WPI/WPH bar model during storage at 35°C (Tran, 2009). WPI: whey protein isolate (BiPro obtained from Davisco); WPH: whey protein hydrolysates (BioZate 1 and BioZate 3); HFCS: high fructose corn syrup.

higher the DH, the more bitter-tasting the resulting protein hydrolysates may be.

In order to improve the storage stability of food products containing protein hydrolysates, for LMF such as powdered protein hydrolysates, the optimal moisture for maximum shelf life is below the GAB m_0 . For IMF such as HPNB containing protein hydrolysates, the problem, bar hardening during storage, should be effectively controlled by substituting with some protein hydrolysates and/or sugar alcohols. However, the Maillard reaction needs to be prevented. That means that the reducing sugar content such as glucose and lactose in the food matrix should be minimized. Therefore, the manufacturers should use the sugar substitutes such as sugar alcohols which do not have residual reducing sugars. In addition, the manufacturers need to control the bitterness of HPNB through optimizing both the DH during protein hydrolysis and the amount of protein hydrolysates in the bar formulation. The food bar industry can also add sugar substitutes into HPNB to mask the bitterness. For LMF and IMF systems, both the moisture sorption isotherm and the glass transition diagram are extremely useful tools for the prediction of potential physicochemical changes in food stability. For HMF such as beverages containing protein hydrolysates, the bioactive peptides added should have high hydrophilicity.

It must be noted that apart from these studies listed in Tables 9–11, very little is known about the effects of storage on protein hydrolysates incorporated into foods. As more and more food products contain bioactive peptides, there is really a need to verify the biofunction availability during postproduction with *in vivo* studies. This new knowledge is especially important with the growth of functional food products derived from plant and animal protein hydrolysates.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Lauren Gillman for the assistance on Table 3.

FUNDING

This project was supported by the Midwest Dairy Association, the American Egg Board (grant No.: DUNS555917996), and the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Program of the US Department of Agriculture (USDA-AFRI, grant No.: 2012-67017-30154).

REFERENCES

- Agboola, S. O. and Dalgleish, D. G. (1996). Enzymatic hydrolysis of milk proteins used for emulsion formation. 1. Kinetics of protein breakdown and storage stability of the emulsions. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 44:3631–3636.
- Aguilera, J. M., Delvalle, J. M. and Karel, M. (1995). Caking phenomena in amorphous food powders. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 6:149–155.
- Ahhmed, A. M. and Muguruma, M. (2010). A review of meat protein hydrolysates and hypertension. *Meat Sci.* 86:110–118.
- Ahmed, A. (2004). Nutrition bar update–The nutrition bar segment has outgrown its 'fad' characteristics and is becoming a business after all. *Nutraceuticals World*. January/February:42–50.
- Aluko, R. E. (2008). Determination of nutritional and bioactive properties of peptides in enzymatic pea, chickpea, and mung bean protein hydrolysates. *J. AOAC Int.* 91:947–956.
- Belitz, H. D., Grosch, W. and Schieberle, P. (2009). Milk and dairy products. In: Food Chemistry, pp. 498–545. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Bell, L. N. (2007). Moisture effects on food's chemical stability. In: Water Activity in Foods: Fundamentals and Applications, pp. 173–198. Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V., Anthony J., Fontana, J., Schmidt, S. J. and Labuza, T. P. (Eds.), Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA, USA.
- Bell, L. N. and Labuza, T. P. (2000a). Moisture sorption isotherms. In: Moisture Sorption: Practical Aspects of Isotherm Measurement and Use, pp. 14– 32. American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN, USA.
- Bell, L. N. and Labuza, T. P. (2000b). Using moisture sorption isotherms: food stability. In: Moisture Sorption: Practical Aspects of Isotherm Measurement and Use, pp. 57–69. American Association of Cereal Chemists, St. Paul, MN, USA.
- Bello, A. E. and Oesser, S. (2006). Collagen hydrolysate for the treatment of osteoarthritis and other joint disorders: a review of the literature. *Curr. Med. Res. Opin.* 22:2221–2232.
- Berry, D. (2011). Discriminating bars. Food Prod. Des. April:64-77.
- Biliaderis, C. G., Lazaridou, A., Mavropoulos, A. and Barbayiannis, N. (2002). Water plasticization effects on crystallization behavior of lactose in a colyophilized amorphous polysaccharide matrix and its relevance to the glass transition. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 5:463–482.
- Bruins, M. E., Creusot, N., Gruppen, H., Janssen, A. E. M. and Boom, R. M. (2009). Pressure-aided proteolysis of beta-casein. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 57:5529–5534.
- Canfield, R. E. (1963). The amino acid sequence of egg white lysozyme. J. Biol. Chem. 238:2698–2707.
- Chevalier, F., Chobert, J. M., Dalgalarrondo, M. and Haertle, T. (2001). Characterization of the Maillard reaction products of beta-lactoglobulin glucosylated in mild conditions. J. Food Biochem. 25:33–55.
- Cho Myong, J. (2010). Soy protein functionality and food bar texture. In: Chemistry, Texture, and Flavor of Soy, pp. 293–319. Cadwallader, K. R. and Chang, S. K. C. (Eds.), American Chemical Society, Washington, DC, USA.
- Chuy, L. E. and Labuza, T. P. (1994). Caking and stickiness of dairy-based food powders as related to glass transition. J. Food Sci. 59:43–46.
- Contreras, M. D., Sevilla, M. A., Monroy-Ruiz, J., Amigo, L., Gomez-Sala, B., Molina, E., Ramos, M. and Recio, I. (2011). Food-grade production of an antihypertensive casein hydrolysate and resistance of active peptides to drying and storage. *Int. Dairy J.* 21:470–476.
- Creusot, N. and Gruppen, H. (2007a). Enzyme-induced aggregation and gelation of proteins. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 25:597–601.
- Creusot, N. and Gruppen, H. (2007b). Protein–peptide interactions in mixtures of whey peptides and whey proteins. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 55:2474–2481.
- Creusot, N. and Gruppen, H. (2008). Hydrolysis of whey protein isolate with Bacillus licheniformis protease: aggregating capacities of peptide fractions. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 56:10332–10339.
- Creusot, N., Gruppen, H., van Koningsveld, G. A., de Kruif, C. G. and Voragen, A. G. J. (2006). Peptide–peptide and protein–peptide interactions in

mixtures of whey protein isolate and whey protein isolate hydrolysates. *Int. Dairy J.* **16**:840–849.

- Dairymark.com. Casein/caseinates-a strategic review of opportunities and applications. Available from http://www.dairymark.com/casein.html. Accessed February 10, 2012.
- Davis, L. A. (2005). Effect of protein source on the textural properties of a model protein bar system. MS Thesis. University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN, USA.
- Dewit, J. N. and Klarenbeek, G. (1984). Effects of various heat treatments on structure and solubility of whey proteins. J. Dairy Sci. 67:2701–2710.
- Di Bernardini, R., Harnedy, P., Bolton, D., Kerry, J., O'Neill, E., Mullen, A. M. and Hayes, M. (2011). Antioxidant and antimicrobial peptidic hydrolysates from muscle protein sources and by-products. *Food Chem.* 124:1296– 1307.
- Dickinson, E. (2006). Structure formation in casein-based gels, foams, and emulsions. Colloids and Surf. a-Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects. 288:3–11.
- Downton, G. E., Floresluna, J. L. and King, C. J. (1982). Mechanism of stickiness in hygroscopic, amorphous powders. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* 21:447–451.
- Eigel, W. N., Butler, J. E., Ernstrom, C. A., Farrell, H. M., Harwalkar, V. R., Jenness, R. and Whitney, R. M. (1984). Nomenclature of the proteins of cows' milk–fifth revision. J. Dairy Sci. 67:1599–1631.
- Erickson, L. E. (1982). Recent developments in intermediate moisture foods. J. Food Prot. 45:484–491.
- Euromonitor International. Available from http://www.euromonitor.com/ passport-gmid. Accessed January 25, 2012.
- Farrell, H. M., Jimenez-Flores, R., Bleck, G. T., Brown, E. M., Butler, J. E., Creamer, L. K., Hicks, C. L., Hollar, C. M., Ng-Kwai-Hang, K. F. and Swaisgood, H. E. (2004). Nomenclature of the proteins of cows' milk–sixth revision. J. Dairy Sci. 87:1641–1674.
- Fontes, E. P. B., Moreira, M. A., Davies, C. S. and Nielsen, N. C. (1984). Ureaelicited changes in relative electrophoretic mobility of certain glycinin and beta-conglycinin subunits. *Plant Physiol.* 76:840–842.
- Fothergill, L. A. and Fothergill, J. E. (1970). Thiol and disulphide contents of hen ovalbumin. C-terminal sequence and location of disulphide bond. *Biochem. J.* 116:555–561.
- Frost & Sullivan. Strategic insight into the global animal protein ingredients market. Available from http://www.frost.com/prod/servlet/home.pag. Accessed September 18, 2012a.
- Frost & Sullivan. Strategic insight into the global plant protein ingredients market. Available from http://www.frost.com/prod/servlet/home.pag. Accessed September 18, 2012b.
- Global Industry Analysts. Protein ingredients: a global strategic business report. Available from http://www.strategyr.com/Protein_Ingredients_Market_Report.asp. Accessed September 18, 2012.
- Gordon, M. and Taylor, J. S. (1952). Ideal copolymers and the second-order transitions of synthetic rubbers. i. non-crystalline copolymers. J. Appl. Chem. 2:493–500.
- Groleau, P. E., Gauthier, S. F. and Pouliot, Y. (2003a). Effect of residual chymotryptic activity in a trypsin preparation on peptide aggregation in a betalactoglobulin hydrolysate. *Int. Dairy J.* 13:887–895.
- Groleau, P. E., Morin, P., Gauthier, S. F. and Pouliot, Y. (2003b). Effect of physicochemical conditions on peptide–peptide interactions in a tryptic hydrolysate of beta-lactoglobulin and identification of aggregating peptides. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* **51**:4370–4375.
- Guerin-Dubiard, C., Pasco, M., Molle, D., Desert, C., Croguennec, T. and Nau, F. (2006). Proteomic analysis of hen egg white. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 54:3901–3910.
- Hancock, B. C. and Zografi, G. (1994). The relationship between the glass transition temperature and the water content of amorphous pharmaceutical solids. *Pharm. Res.* 11:471–477.
- Hazen, C. (2010). Texture solutions for snack bars. Food Prod. Des. June: 40– 57.

- Herpandi, N. H., Rosma, A. and Nadiah, W. A. W. (2011). The tuna fishing industry: a new outlook on fish protein hydrolysates. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci.* and Food Saf. 10:195–207.
- Hoyle, N. T. and Merritt, J. H. (1994). Quality of fish protein hydrolysates from herring (*Clupea harengus*). J. Food Sci. 59:76–79.
- Hutchinson, M. (2009). Meeting snack bar challenges. Available from http:// www.preparedfoods.com/articles/r-d-meeting-snack-bar-challenges-june-2009. Accessed July 18, 2012.
- Itoh, T., Miyazaki, J., Sugawara, H. and Adachi, S. (1987). Studies on the characterization of ovomucin and chalaza of the hen's egg. J. Food Sci. 52:1518–1521.
- Jang, A., Jo, C. and Lee, M. (2007). Storage stability of the synthetic angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory peptides separated from beef sareoplasmic protein extracts at different pH, temperature, and gastric digestion. *Food Sci. Biotechnol.* 16:572–575.
- Jardim, D. C. P., Candido, L. M. B. and Netto, F. M. (1999). Sorption isotherms and glass transition temperatures of fish protein hydrolysates with different degrees of hydrolysis. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 2:227–242.
- Johari, G. P., Hallbrucker, A. and Mayer, E. (1987). The glass-liquid transition of hyperquenched water. *Nature*. 330:552–553.
- Johnson, T. M. and Zabik, M. E. (1981). Gelation properties of albumin proteins, singly and in combination. *Poul. Sci.* 60:2071–2083.
- Karel, M. and Heidelbaugh, N. D. (1973). Recent research and development in the field of low-moisture and intermediate-moisture foods. *CRC Crit. Rev. Food Technol.* 3:329–373.
- Katkov, I. I. and Levine, F. (2004). Prediction of the glass transition temperature of water solutions: comparison of different models. *Cryobiology*. 49:62–82.
- Kato, I., Schrode, J., Kohr, W. J. and Laskowski, M. (1987). Chicken ovomucoid: determination of its amino acid sequence, determination of the trypsin reactive site, and preparation of all three of its domains. *Biochemistry*. 26:193–201.
- Kato, Y., Matsuda, T., Kato, N. and Nakamura, R. (1990). Maillard reaction in sugar-protein systems. In: Advances in Life Sciences: The Maillard Reaction in Food Processing, Human Nutrition, and Physiology, pp. 97–102. Finot, P. A., Aeschbacher, H. U., Hurrell, R. F. and Liardon, R. (Eds.), Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland.
- Khalloufi, S., El-Maslouhi, Y. and Ratti, C. (2000). Mathematical model for prediction of glass transition temperature of fruit powders. J. Food Sci. 65:842–848.
- Khan, M. A., Hossain, M. A., Hara, K., Osatomi, K., Ishihara, T. and Nozaki, Y. (2003). Effect of enzymatic fish-scrap protein hydrolysate on gel-forming ability and denaturation of lizard fish *Saurida wanieso* surimi during frozen storage. *Fish. Sci.* 69:1271–1280.
- Kitts, D. D. and Weiler, K. (2003). Bioactive proteins and peptides from food sources. Applications of bioprocesses used in isolation and recovery. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 9:1309–1323.
- Koide, T. and Ikenaka, T. (1973). Studies on soybean trypsin inhibitors. 1. Fragmentation of soybean trypsin inhibitor (Kunitz) by limited proteolysis and by chemical cleavage. *Euro. J. Biochem./FEBS.* **32**:401–407.
- Koshiyama, I. (1972). A comparison of soybean globulins and the protein bodies in the protein composition. *Agricult. Biol. Chem.* 36:62–67.
- Kristinsson, H. G. and Rasco, B. A. (2000). Fish protein hydrolysates: production, biochemical, and functional properties. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 40:43–81.
- Kurosaki, T., Maeno, M., Mennear, J. H. and Bernard, B. K. (2005). Studies of the toxicological potential of tripeptides (L-valyl-L-prolyl-L-proline and Lisoleucyl-L-prolyl-L-proline): VI. Effects of *Lactobacillus helveticus*-fermented milk powder on fertility and reproductive performance of rats. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 24:61–89.
- Kurozawa, L. E., Park, K. J. and Hubinger, M. D. (2009). Effect of maltodextrin and gum arabic on water sorption and glass transition temperature of spray dried chicken meat hydrolysate protein. *J. Food Eng.* 91:287–296.

- Labuza, T. P. (1971). Kinetics of lipid oxidation in foods. CRC Crit. Rev. Food Technol. 2:355–405.
- Labuza, T. P. (1980). The effect of water activity on reaction kinetics of food deterioration. *Food Technol.* 34:36–41.
- Labuza, T. P. (1982). Shelf-life Dating of Foods. Food & Nutrition Press, Westport, CT, USA.
- Labuza, T. P., Kaanane, A. and Chen, J. Y. (1985). Effect of temperature on the moisture sorption isotherms and water activity shift of two dehydrated foods. J. Food Sci. 50:385–391.
- Labuza, T. P. and Labuza, P. S. (2004). Influence of temperature and relative humidity on the physical states of cotton candy. J. Food Process. Preserv. 28:274–287.
- Labuza, T. P., McNally, L., Gallagher, D., Hawkes, J. and Hurtado, F. (1972). Stability of intermediate moisture foods. 1. Lipid oxidation. J. Food Sci. 37:154–159.
- Labuza, T. P., Roe, K., Payne, C., Panda, F., Labuza, T. J., Labuza, P. S. and Krusch, L. (2004). Storage stability of dry food systems: influence of state changes during drying and storage. In: Drying 2004–Proceedings of the 14th International Drying Symposium (IDS 2004), pp. 48–68. Silva, M. A. and Rocha, S. C. S. (Eds.), Elsevier, Sao Paulo, Brazil.
- Labuza, T. P., Tannenbaum, S. R. and Karel, M. (1970). Water content and stability of low moisture and intermediate moisture foods. *Food Technol.* 24:543–550.
- Lajoie, N., Gauthier, S. F. and Pouliot, Y. (2001). Improved storage stability of model infant formula by whey peptides fractions. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 49:1999–2007.
- Le Meste, M., Champion, D., Roudaut, G., Blond, G. and Simatos, D. (2002). Glass transition and food technology: a critical appraisal. *J. Food Sci.* **67**:2444–2458.
- Levine, H. and Slade, L. (1986). A polymer physicochemical approach to the study of commercial starch hydrolysis products (SHPs). *Carbohydr. Polym.* 6:213–244.
- Li-Chan, E. C. Y. and Kim, H.-O. (2007). Structure and chemical compositions of eggs. In: Egg Bioscience and Biotechnology, pp. 1–95. John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Li-Chan, E. C. Y., Powrie, W. D. and Nakai, S. (1995). The chemistry of eggs and egg products. In: Egg Science and Technology, pp. 105–175. Stadelman, W. J. and Cotterill, O. J., Eds., Food Products Press, New York, NY, USA.
- Li, Y., Szlachetka, K., Chen, P., Lin, X. Y. and Ruan, R. (2008). Ingredient characterization and hardening of high-protein food bars: an NMR state diagram approach. *Cereal Chem.* 85:780–786.
- Liu, X. M., Zhou, P., Tran, A. and Labuza, T. P. (2009). Effects of polyols on the stability of whey proteins in intermediate-moisture food model systems. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 57:2339–2345.
- Loveday, S. M., Hindmarsh, J. P., Creamer, L. K. and Singh, H. (2009). Physicochemical changes in a model protein bar during storage. *Food Res. Int.* 42:798–806.
- Lv, Y., Guo, S. T. and Yang, B. C. (2009). Aggregation of hydrophobic soybean protein hydrolysates: changes in molecular weight distribution during storage. *Lwt-Food Sci. Technol.* **42**:914–917.
- Maeno, M., Mizuno, S., Mennear, J. H. and Bernard, B. K. (2005a). Studies of the toxicological potential of tripeptides (L-valyl-L-prolyl-L-proline and Lisoleucyl-L-prolyl-L-proline): VIII. Assessment of cytotoxicity and clastogenicity of tripeptides-containing casein hydrolysate and *Lactobacillus helveticus*-fermented milk powders in Chinese hamster lung cells. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 24:97–105.
- Maeno, M., Nakamura, Y., Mennear, J. H. and Bernard, B. K. (2005b). Studies of the toxicological potential of tripeptides (L-valyl-L-prolyl-L-proline and Lisoleucyl-L-prolyl-L-proline): III. Single–and/or repeated-dose toxicity of tripeptides-containing *Lactobacillus helveticus*-fermented milk powder and casein hydrolysate in rats. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 24:13–23.
- Manninen, A. H. (2009). Protein hydrolysates in sports nutrition. Nutr. Metab. 6. doi:10.1186/1743-7075-6-38.

- Masuda, H., Gotoh, K., Higashitani, K. and Matsusaka, S. (2006). Adhesive force of a single particle. In: Powder Technology Handbook, pp. 157–170. Masuda, H., Higashitani, K. and Yoshida, H., Eds., Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Matsuura, K., Mennear, J. H., Maeno, M. and Bernard, B. K. (2005). Studies of the toxicological potential of tripeptides (L-valyl-L-prolyl-L-proline and Lisoleucyl-L-prolyl-L-proline): VII. Micronucleus test of tripeptides-containing casein hydrolysate and *Lactobacillus helveticus*-fermented milk powders in rats and mice. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 24:91–96.
- McMahon, D. J., Adams, S. L. and McManus, W. R. (2009). Hardening of high-protein nutrition bars and sugar/polyol-protein phase separation. J. Food Sci. 74:E312–E321.
- Mintel. Baby food and drink US June 2012. Available from http://academic. mintel.com/. Accessed September 20, 2012.
- Mintel. Nutrition and energy bars–US–February 2012. Available from http:// academic.mintel.com/. Accessed March 12, 2012.
- Mizuno, S., Mennear, J. H., Matsuura, K. and Bernard, B. K. (2005). Studies of the toxicological potential of tripeptides (L-valyl-L-prolyl-L-proline and Lisoleucyl-L-prolyl-L-proline): V. A 13-week toxicity study of tripeptidescontaining casein hydrolysate in male and female rats. *Int. J. Toxicol.* 24:41–59.
- Moskowitz, R. W. (2000). Role of collagen hydrolysate in bone and joint disease. Semin. Arthritis and Rheumatism. 30:87–99.
- Murphy, P. A. and Resurreccion, A. P. (1984). Varietal and environmental differences in soybean glycinin and beta-conglycinin content. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 32:911–915.
- Nagase, H., Harris, E. D., Woessner, J. F. and Brew, K. (1983). Ovostatin: a novel proteinase inhibitor from chicken egg white. I. Purification, physicochemical properties, and tissue distribution of ovostatin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 258:7481–7489.
- Netto, F. M., Desobry, S. A. and Labuza, T. P. (1998). Effect of water content on the glass transition, caking and stickiness of protein hydrolysates. *Int. J. Food Prop.* 1:141–161.
- Nielsen, P. M., Petersen, D. and Dambmann, C. (2001). Improved method for determining food protein degree of hydrolysis. J. Food Sci. 66:642– 646.
- Otte, J., Lomholt, S. B., Halkier, T. and Qvist, K. B. (2000). Identification of peptides in aggregates formed during hydrolysis of beta-lactoglobulin B with a Glu and Asp specific microbial protease. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 48:2443–2447.
- Otte, J., Lomholt, S. B., Ipsen, R., Stapelfeldt, H., Bukrinsky, J. T. and Qvist, K. B. (1997). Aggregate formation during hydrolysis of beta-lactoglobulin with a Glu and Asp specific protease from *Bacillus licheniformis*. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 45:4889–4896.
- Owusu-Apenten, R. (2005). Colorimetric analysis of protein sulfhydyl groups in milk: applications and processing effects. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 45:1–23.
- Paul, M. and Somkuti, G. A. (2009). Degradation of milk-based bioactive peptides by yogurt fermentation bacteria. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 49:345–350.
- Paul, M. and Somkuti, G. A. (2010). Hydrolytic breakdown of lactoferricin by lactic acid bacteria. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 37:173–178.
- Pavey, R. L. and Schack, W. R. (1969). Formulation of intermediate moisture bite-size food cubes. *Technical Report Contract F4160967-C-0054*: U.S. Air Force School of Aerospace Medicine, San Antonio, Texas.
- Peleg, M. (1993). Mapping the stiffness-temperature-moisture relationship of solid biomaterials at and around their glass-transition. *Rheol. Acta.* 32:575– 580.
- Pena-Ramos, E. A. and Xiong, Y. L. L. (2003). Whey and soy protein, hydrolysates inhibit lipid oxidation in, cooked pork patties. *Meat Sci.* 64:259–263.
- Penas, E., Prestamo, G. and Gomez, R. (2004). High pressure and the enzymatic hydrolysis of soybean whey proteins. *Food Chem.* 85:641–648.
- Pittia, P. and Sacchetti, G. (2008). Antiplasticization effect of water in amorphous foods. A review. *Food Chem.* 106:1417–1427.

- Potier, M. and Tome, D. (2008). Comparison of digestibility and quality of intact proteins with their respective hydrolysates. J. AOAC Int. 91:1002– 1005.
- Quiros, A., Chichon, R., Recio, I. and Lopez-Fandino, R. (2007). The use of high hydrostatic pressure to promote the proteolysis and release of bioactive peptides from ovalbumin. *Food Chem.* **104**:1734–1739.
- Rao, Q. C. and Labuza, T. P. (2012). Effect of moisture content on selected physicochemical properties of two commercial hen egg white powders. *Food Chem.* **132**:373–384.
- Rao, Q. C., Rocca-Smith, J. R. and Labuza, T. P. (2012). Moisture-induced quality changes of hen egg white proteins in a protein/water model system. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 60:10625–10633.
- Rao, Q. C., Rocca-Smith, J. R. and Labuza, T. P. (2013). Storage stability of hen egg white powders in three protein/water dough model systems. *Food Chem*: 138, 1087–1094.
- Rao, Q. C., Rocca-Smith, J. R., Schoenfuss, T. C. and Labuza, T. P. (2012b). Accelerated shelf-life testing of quality loss for a commercial hydrolysed hen egg white powder. *Food Chem.* 135:464–472.
- Rivas, A., Rodrigo, D., Company, B., Sampedro, F. and Rodrigo, M. (2007). Effects of pulsed electric fields on water-soluble vitamins and ACE inhibitory peptides added to a mixed orange juice and milk beverage. *Food Chem.* 104:1550–1559.
- Roos, Y. H. and Karel, M. (1990). Differential scanning calorimetry study of phase-transitions affecting the quality of dehydrated materials. *Biotechnol. Progr.* 6:159–163.
- Rutherfurd, S. M. (2010). Methodology for determining degree of hydrolysis of proteins in hydrolysates: a review. J. AOAC Int. 93:1515–1522.
- Ryhanen, E. L., Pihlanto-Leppala, A. and Pahkala, E. (2001). A new type of ripened, low-fat cheese with bioactive properties. *Int. Dairy J.* 11:441–447.
- Sakanaka, S., Tachibana, Y., Ishihara, N. and Juneja, L. R. (2004). Antioxidant activity of egg-yolk protein hydrolysates in a linoleic acid oxidation system. *Food Chem.* 86:99–103.
- Samaranayaka, A. G. P. and Li-Chan, E. C. Y. (2008). Autolysis-assisted production of fish protein hydrolysates with antioxidant properties from Pacific hake (*Merluccius productus*). *Food Chem.* **107**:768–776.
- Sathe, S. K., Lilley, G. G., Mason, A. C. and Weaver, C. M. (1987). High-resolution sodium dodecyl-sulfate polyacrylamide-gel electrophoresis of soybean (glycine-max L) seed proteins. *Cereal Chem.* 64: 380–384.
- Sato, K., Yamagishi, T., Kamata, Y. and Yamauchi, F. (1987). Subunit structure and immunological properties of a basic 7s globulin from soybean seeds. *Phytochemistry*. 26:903–908.
- Sato, K., Yamagishi, T. and Yamauchi, F. (1986). Quantitative-analysis of soybean proteins by densitometry on gel-electrophoresis. *Cereal Chem.* 63:493–496.
- Sato, W., Kamata, Y., Fukuda, M. and Yamauchi, F. (1984). Improved isolation method and some properties of soybean gamma-conglycinin. *Phytochemistry*. 23:1523–1526.
- Shurtleff, W. and Aoyagi, A. (2012). History of Soy Sauce (160 CE to 2012): Extensively Annotated Bibliography and Sourcebook. Soyinfo Center, Lafayette, CA, USA.
- Silva, V. M., Kurozawa, L. E., Park, K. J. and Hubinger, M. D. (2011). Water sorption and glass transition temperature of spray-dried mussel meat protein hydrolysate. *Drying Technol.* **30**:175–184.
- Singh, A. M. and Dalgleish, D. G. (1998). The emulsifying properties of hydrolyzates of whey proteins. J. Dairy Sci. 81:918–924.
- Slade, L. and Levine, H. (1991). Beyond water activity–recent advances based on an alternative approach to the assessment of food quality and safety. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **30**:115–360.
- Slizyte, R., Dauksas, E., Falch, E., Storro, I. and Rustad, T. (2005). Characteristics of protein fractions generated from hydrolysed cod (*Gadus morhua*) by-products. *Process Biochem.* 40:2021–2033.
- Sohn, J. H., Taki, Y., Ushido, H., Kohata, T., Shioya, I. and Oshima, T. (2005). Lipid oxidations in ordinary and dark muscles of fish: influences on rancid

off-odor development and color darkening of yellowtail flesh during ice storage. J. Food Sci. 70:S490–S496.

- Spellman, D., Kenny, P., O'Cuinn, G. and FitzGerald, R. J. (2005). Aggregation properties of whey protein hydrolysates generated with *Bacillus licheniformis* proteinase activities. J. Agric. Food. Chem. **53**:1258–1265.
- Su, R. X., He, Z. M. and Qi, W. (2008). Pancreatic hydrolysis of bovine casein: changes in the aggregate size and molecular weight distribution. *Food Chem.* 107:151–157.
- Sun, X. D. (2011). Enzymatic hydrolysis of soy proteins and the hydrolysates utilisation. Int J. Food Sci. Technol. 46:2447–2459.
- Taillie, S. A. (2006). Food bars. In: Soy Applications in Food, pp. 185–198. Riaz, M. N. (Ed.), CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Tang, C. H., Choi, S. M. and Ma, C. Y. (2007). Study of thermal properties and heat-induced denaturation and aggregation of soy proteins by modulated differential scanning calorimetry. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 40:96–104.
- Taoukis, P. S., Elmeskine, A. and Labuza, T. P. (1988). Moisture transfer and shelf life of packaged foods. In: Food and Packaging Interactions, pp. 243– 261. Hotchkiss, J. H. (Ed.), American Chemical Society, Washington DC, USA.
- Taoukis, P. S. and Richardson, M. (2007). Principles of intermediate-moisture foods and related technology. In: Water Activity in Foods: Fundamentals and Applications, pp. 273–312. Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V., Fontana, A. J., Schmidt, S. J. and Labuza, T. P. (Eds.), Blackwell Publishing, Ames, IA, USA.
- Taterka, H. M. (2009). The effect of water activity and humectants on protein bar quality loss during storage. MS Thesis. University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN, USA.
- Terracciano, L., Isoardi, P., Arrigoni, S., Zoja, A. and Martelli, A. (2002). Use of hydrolysates in the treatment of cow's milk allergy. *Ann. Allergy Asthma & Immunol.* 89:86–90.
- Thiansilakul, Y., Benjakul, S. and Shahidi, F. (2007). Compositions, functional properties and antioxidative activity of protein hydrolysates prepared from round scad (*Decapterus maruadsi*). *Food Chem.* **103**:1385–1394.
- Tran, A. (2009). Evaluation of quality loss in model protein bars during storage. MS Thesis. University of Minnesota, St Paul, MN, USA.
- Turgeon, S. L., Sanchez, C., Gauthier, S. F. and Paquin, P. (1996). Stability and rheological properties of salad dressing containing peptidic fractions of whey proteins. *Int. Dairy J.* 6:645–658.
- Udenigwe, C. C. and Aluko, R. E. (2012). Food protein-derived bioactive peptides: production, processing, and potential health benefits. *J. Food Sci.* 77: R11–R24.
- Utsumi, S., Matsumura, Y. and Mori, T. (1997). Structure–function relationships of soy proteins. In: Food Proteins and Their Applications, pp. 257– 291. Damodaran, S. and Paraf, A. (Eds.), Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA.
- Vallejo-Cordoba, B., Nakai, S., Powrie, W. D. and Beveridge, T. (1987). Extended shelf life of frankfurters and fish frankfurter–analogs with added soy protein hydrolysates. *J Food Sci.* 52:1133–1136.
- Van den Berg, C. and Bruin, S. (1981). Water activity and estimation in food systems. In: Water Activity: Influences on Food Quality, pp. 1–61. Rockland, L. B. and Stewart, G. F. (Eds.), Academic Press, New York, NY, USA.

- Vaslin, S. Protection of bioactive food ingredients by means of encapsulation. US20080050355A1, http://www.google.com/patents/US20080050355, Accessed February 28, 2008.
- Velikov, V., Borick, S. and Angell, C. A. (2001). The glass transition of water, based on hyperquenching experiments. *Science*. 294:2335–2338.
- Wade, M. A. (2005). Extended shelflife: Bar none. Available from http://www. preparedfoods.com/articles/extended-shelflife-bar-none. Accessed August 20, 2012.
- Walstra, P., Wouters, J. T. M. and Geurts, T. J. (2006). Milk components. In: Dairy Science and Technology, pp. 17–108. CRC/Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Wang, L. L. and Xiong, Y. L. (2005). Inhibition of lipid oxidation in cooked beef patties by hydrolyzed potato protein is related to its reducing and radical scavenging ability. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 53:9186–9192.
- Weiss, W. F., Young, T. M. and Roberts, C. J. (2009). Principles, approaches, and challenges for predicting protein aggregation rates and shelf life. J *Pharm. Sci.* 98:1246–1277.
- Williams, J. (1982). The evolution of transferrin. *Trends in Biochem. Sci.* **7**:394–397.
- Wolf, W. J. (1993). Sulfhydryl content of glycinin–Effect of reducing agents. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 41:168–176.
- Wright, R. The next frontier for nutrition bars–The market continues to hold its own, but falling consumption and product saturation may threaten future growth. Available from http://www.nutraceuticalsworld.com/contents/view/ 30497. Accessed September 18, 2012.
- Yamashita, Y., Zhang, N. and Nozaki, Y. (2003). Effect of chitin hydrolysate on the denaturation of lizard fish myofibrillar protein and the state of water during frozen storage. *Food Hydrocolloids*. 17:569–576.
- Yarnpakdee, S., Benjakul, S. and Kristinsson, H. (2012a). Effect of pretreatments on chemical compositions of mince from Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and fishy odor development in protein hydrolysate. *Int. Aquat. Res.* 4:1–16.
- Yarnpakdee, S., Benjakul, S., Nalinanon, S. and Kristinsson, H. G. (2012b). Lipid oxidation and fishy odour development in protein hydrolysate from Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) muscle as affected by freshness and antioxidants. *Food Chem.* **132**:1781–1788.
- Zhang, N., Yamashita, Y. and Nozaki, Y. (2002). Effect of protein hydrolysate from antarctic krill on the state of water and denaturation of lizard fish myofibrils during frozen storage. *Food Sci. Technol. Res.* 8:200–206.
- Zhou, P. and Labuza, T. P. (2007). Effect of water content on glass transition and protein aggregation of whey protein powders during short-term storage. *Food Biophy.* 2:108–116.
- Zhou, P., Liu, X. M. and Labuza, T. P. (2008a). Effects of moisture-induced whey protein aggregation on protein conformation, the state of water molecules, and the microstructure and texture of high-protein-containing matrix. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 56:4534–4540.
- Zhou, P., Liu, X. M. and Labuza, T. P. (2008b). Moisture-induced aggregation of whey proteins in a protein/buffer model system. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 56:2048–2054.
- Zhu, D. and Labuza, T. P. (2010). Effect of cysteine on lowering protein aggregation and subsequent hardening of whey protein isolate (WPI) protein bars in WPI/buffer model systems. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 58:7970–7979.